

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,370	07/08/2003	Neil David Hammond Raven	MSQ01-002-CIP-US	7846
43320 EVAN LAW C	7590 06/01/2007 ROUP LLC		EXAMINER	
600 WEST JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 625			WARE, DEBORAH K	
CHICAGO, IL 60661		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/614,370	RAVEN ET AL.			
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah K. Ware	1651			
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	er Final			
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)				
(2) Paul E. Rauch, Ph.D.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 22 May 2007	Time:				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
those of record					
Claims discussed: all pending claims					
Prior art documents discussed: art of record and Pruisner et al					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
Dulmh K. Way					
DEBORAH K: WARE PATENT EXAMINER					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sign	gnature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/614,370

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Applicants' Representative that the after final response has been received and will be entered. Also indicated that the claims will be reconsidered on the merits and that the outcome of a possible patentability conference will be relayed to Applicants' Representative.